

$\frac{POLYESTER}{FABRICATION} \frac{PRODUCTS}{FABRICATION}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0251270 DATE: 8/18/2010 ARRIVE: 10:55AM DEPART: 11:15AM FACILITY NAME: RELEASE BACK COUNTRY BOATS, INC FACILITY LOCATION: 145 SW 3rd Avenue HOMSTEAD 33030-7023				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN COURTNEY Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/14/2007 / 10/13/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)246-8668 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: Mobile: (authorized properties of the content of t				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the polyester resin plastic products fabrication units and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)5.a., F.A.C.) — □Yes ☒ No 2. Does the facility comply with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and not cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor?———————————————————————————————————				

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
ir a) b) c) d e) 2. D g	Ooes the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollovolved in product fabrication on methods of reducin lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effect monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive implementing inventory control practices to prever managing cleanup solvents?	g evaporative losses by: e air? ctive application with a minimum of overspray? e resin/get coat application? at spillage? fort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the spillage of the comment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources,	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No e	
3. D	vater quality, or air quality? Ooes the owner or operator maintain the permitted fac	ility, emission unit, or activity in good condition?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been				
1. 3	□Yes ⊠No			
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete 			□Yes □No □Yes □No	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				
MARUFUL MALIK		8/18/2010		
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_	
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_	

COMMENTS: On August 18, 2010 I visited this facility to verify the current operational status of the facility. On site I met Armando Hernandez, the Boat Mechanic of the facility. This facility did not manufacture any boat last year. Facility engaged in minor repair work on boats. No polyester resin plastic product fabrication activity was observed. The fiberglass lamination area was used for storage purpose only. The owner, John Courtney, was advised to infom DERM should he decides to resume fiberglass operations.